Cost Analysis Tool Applied to *Sound Advice Throughout the Years* PARTNERSHIPS FOR PREVENTING FARM INJURIES TO RURAL YOUTH Objectives:

- 1. Who in the community is at risk of these types of injuries?
- 2. What are the costs of these injuries, and who bears these costs?
- 3. In what ways can these injuries be prevented, and why is it cost effective to do so?

Economics: Economics includes the study of production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services. Students need to understand how their economic decisions affect them, others, and the nation as a whole.

Academic Expectation 2.18: Students understand economic principles and are able to make economic decisions that have consequences in daily living. (Grade 11)

1. The basic economic problem confronting individuals and societies is the scarcity or imbalance between unlimited wants and limited resources available for satisfying those wants.

SS-H-3.1.1: *Scarcity* of resources necessitates choices at both the *personal* and *societal* levels.

SS-H-3.1.3: To make informed choices, *consumers* must analyze *advertisements*, consider *personal finances* (including the importance of *savings*, *investment*, and use of *credit*), and examine *opportunity cost*.

2. To deal with the problem of scarcity, people and societies create economic systems and institutions.

SS-H-3.2.2: Economic *institutions* include such organizations as corporations, labor unions, banks, stock markets, cooperatives, partnerships, and also insurance

SS-H-3.2.3: Individuals attempt to maximize their profits based on their role in the economy (e.g., producers try to maximize *profit*, workers try to maximize *income*, savers and investors try to maximize *return*).

3. Markets are institutional arrangements that enable buyers and sellers to exchange goods and services.

SS-H-3.3.1: Numerous factors influence the *supply and demand* for products (e.g., supply - technology, cost of inputs, number of sellers; demand - income, price of similar products, consumers' preferences).

SS-H-3.3.2: Specific financial and non-financial *incentives* often influence individuals differently (e.g., discounts, sales promotions, trends, personal convictions).

4. All societies deal with questions about production, distribution, and consumption.

SS-H-3.4.1: An *entrepreneur* is a person who organizes and manages a business and/or enterprise usually with considerable initiative and *risk*.

SS-H-3.4.2: *Technological change* and *investments* in *capital goods* and *human capital/resources* increase *productivity*.

SS-H-3.4.3: Investments in *capital goods* and *labor* can increase *productivity* but have significant *opportunity costs*.

Microsoft ExcelTM Spreadsheet: Low Road. Sound Advice Throughout the Years¹

Exercise 1: Review of the cost of Heather's fall.

WORKSHEETS	Ellen's Care	Noise Sources	
	Lifetime Exposures	Percentage Exposure	
	Hazardous Exposures	Hearing Loss	
	Collateral Injuries	Intervention Cost	

Glossary

Annual exposure: The amount of time per year, such as in hours, which an individual is exposed to a potential hazard over a year. An equivalent work-year has been defined as fifty 40-hour weeks per year, or 2000 hours of work per year.

Benefit: Something of positive value.

Cost (of inputs): Price paid or loss incurred to acquire or produce something.

Decibel: A unit of relative sound loudness as measured by an electric voltage, or current, equal to ten times the common logarithm of the ratio of two sound readings.

Direct costs: Costs incurred to secure medical treatment and medications.

Economics: Study of the choices people make and the actions they take in order to make the best use of scarce resources in meeting their wants and needs.

Economies of scale: A condition that makes it less expensive to manufacturer, market, and distribute large quantities of goods than small quantities of those same goods.

Indirect costs: Costs not directly associated with prevention and health care activities that accrue to individuals, society, or employers such as productivity losses.

Intervention: An attempt to change how things are done in order to improve safety.

Noise: Any unwanted sound or a combination of sounds.

Opportunity cost: Cost of a good measured in terms of lost opportunity to pursue the best alternative activity with the same time and resources.

Price: The amount of money received from a sale or for a purchase including labor.

Scarcity: When there is not enough of a resource to all meet of people's wants and needs.

Social cost: The total cost to society that includes all costs no matter whom or what incurs the cost.

Supply and demand: The relationship between the availability of a good or service and the need or desire for it among consumers.

¹ This version of the Cost Analysis Tool has excluded certain concepts so that other concepts could be taught under the time constraints for teaching in high school. Because of their complexity, concepts excluded were discounting, present value, decision tree, sensitivity analysis, break-even analysis, exposure hours, and some applications of probabilities.

This and the next two exercises involve placing data into a spreadsheet and understanding the results of the embedded analyses of your entries. These entries progressively affect later worksheets through a total of 20 worksheets. The first worksheet is "Ellen's Care." Data entered into each worksheet affects the calculations of the following worksheets. Note that as we start, each worksheet is devoid of data.

WORKSHEET 1—Ellen's Care

JR ran to call an ambulance as Pete's kneeled over Ellen's motionless body.

She may have been killed, or she may have survived. Later, JR did some

calculations about the major cost of each possibility as shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1. Costs Associated with Ellen's Injury with Two Possible Outcomes.				
IF ELLEN WAS DEAD	IF ELLEN WAS ALIVE			
Direct Costs				
The emergency response bill cost <u>\$3,000</u> .	The emergency care including EMS and			
	helicopter transport cost <u>\$9,200</u> .			
The coroner service cost the county $\$300$.	Heather had received hospital care at a cost of			
	<u>\$260,000</u> .			
The funeral service expenses cost the family	Physician care at <u>\$50,000.</u>			
<u>\$12,000</u> .				
The cemetery plot and burial expense to the	Care in later years at a cost of <u>\$236,000</u> .			
family was <u>\$6,000</u> .				
The grave marker cost the family <u>\$2,500</u> .	Rehabilitation care at <u>\$254,000</u> .			
Indirect Costs				
Ellen's loss of a productive lifetime to society	Ellen's lifetime productivity loss because of			
was <u>\$2,200,000</u> .	permanent injury to her legs was <u>\$500,000</u> .			
Pete's hearing aids and ear operations to	Pete's hearing aids and ear operations to			
improve his hearing cost <u>\$6,500</u> .	improve his hearing cost <u>\$6,500</u> .			
Pete went into depression the treatment fro	The parent's lost time in caring for Ellen meant			
which cost <u>\$45,000</u> .	an income loss to them of <u>\$22,000</u> .			
Family counseling for Ellen's parents and	Travel mileage and meal cost of <u>\$18,380</u> for			
grandparents to cope with the guilt associated	the family to visit and transport Ellen.			
with the death cost <u>\$30,000</u> .				
Legal fees associated with dividing up the	Pete hired labor on the farm during his and his			
costs of the expenses related to Ellen's death	wife's time of help in Ellen's recovery at a cost			
were <u>\$10,000</u> .	of <u>\$15,000</u> .			
The cost for time lost to grieve by the family	The cost associated with Ellen's delay in			
cost <u>\$30,000</u> in lost income to both the parents,	attending school and accommodations related			
and both sets of grandparents.	to her education was <u>\$200,000</u> .			

Choose one of these two conditions on Worksheet

 Ellen's Care by clicking the checkbox. The cost
 values in Table 1.1 will be automatically entered in
 the spreadsheet.



QUESTION 1.1. What was the total cost of Ellen's injury?

If she was dead \$_____

If she was alive \$_____

WORKSHEET 2-Noise Sources

JR knew that his shotgun was louder than his chainsaw. He did some research on the Internet and found that noise (unwanted sound) was measured with a noise meter, and the noise meter measured sound with a unit called a decibel. The decibel measured the amount of energy in sound, but the increase in energy increased three times (the vibrations on the eardrum) for each increase in three decibels.

JR found the decibel levels for several different sources of noise on the Internet.

► From the Noise Meter at the following web link, type in the missing values in the three blue boxes on Worksheet 2, Noise Sources.

http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/noise/abouthlp/noisemeter_flash/soundMeter_flas h.html

JR found that the average exposure over an 8-hour period should not exceed 85 decibels (dB) to prevent hearing loss from noise.

QUESTION 1.2. Is Pete's average 8-hour exposure above the noise hazard limit?

WORKSHEET 3—Lifetime Exposures

Based upon his knowledge of Pete's lifetime exposure to different noise sources, JR calculated the hours of exposure as shown in Table 1.2.

Table 1.2. Hours of Pete's Lifetime Exposure to Different Noise Sources.				
Noise Source	Number of Hours Exposed			
Tractor	28,670			
Chainsaw	1,826			
Combine	5,549			
Grain dryer	7,117			
Other agricultural sources	12,188			
Other occupational sources (non-farm)	24,650			
Hunting and target shooting	2,459			
Motorcycle and snowmobile riding	2,616			
Rock band	1,210			
Other non-occupational sources	5,646			

► Place the hours of Pete's lifetime exposure to noise from different sources from Table 1.2 into the blue cells in Worksheet 3, Lifetime Exposures.

QUESTION 1.3. To which noise source was Pete exposed to for most of his lifetime?

WORKSHEET 4—Percentage Exposure

Look at JR's pie chart on WORKSHEET 4—Percentage Exposure.

QUESTION 1.4. Which source of noise was greater to Pete, occupational or nonoccupational?

WORKSHEET 5—Hazardous Exposures

JR found the following scale of permissible noise exposure. As he already knew, hearing would be protected at no more than an 85 decibel (dB) exposure over an 8-hour period. He was surprised to see that an increase in noise exposure from 3 decibels to 88 decibels for more than 4 hours will damage hearing.

8 hours	4 hours	2 hours	I hour	30 min	15 min	7.5 min	< 4 min	< 2 min	< 1 min
85dB	88dB	91 dB	94dB	97dB	100dB	103dB	106dB	109dB	112dB

JR listed the information on noise sources and the typical 8-hour exposure to these sources as shown on WORKSHEET 5—Hazardous Exposures.

► Check the boxes on Worksheet 5, Hazardous Exposures that are hazardous to hearing.

QUESTION 1.5. Based upon the standards shown above, which exposures are hazardous to hearing?

- \Box tractor
- \Box chainsaw
- \Box combine
- \Box grain dryer
- \Box other agricultural
- \Box other occupational

8-hour average
hunting and target shooting
motorcycle and snowmobile riding
rock band

WORKSHEET 6—Hearing Loss

JR found a chart that he could change by scrolling a bar on the computer to determine hearing loss based upon years of exposure to a lot of noise.

► Scroll the bar on Worksheet 6—Hearing Loss to see the effect of noise exposure on Pete over the years.

When his hearing loss reached 25 decibels his hearing disability of 0% began, and then over time it moved up towards a 75 decibel hearing loss at which there would be a 100% hearing disability.

QUESTION 1.6. How many years of exposure first led to a hearing loss of 25 decibels? _____ years

WORKSHEET 7—Collateral Injuries

An injury occurred as a result of Pete's hearing loss. JR found that hearing loss does lead to an increase in occupational injuries. He found hearing loss can increase injuries by a percentage of 37%.

Enter this percentage into the blue box on WORKSHEET 7—Collateral Injuries.

QUESTION 1.7. How many injuries (per (100) would be prevented if the person had no hearing loss? ______ injuries per 100 workers.

WORKSHEET 8—Intervention Cost

JR was very familiar with the cost of ear plugs needed to protect his ears from noise exposure. He knew that the law of supply and demand applied to ear plugs. As the demand increases the price of ear plugs drops because it costs less to supply a larger quantity than a smaller quantity of ear plugs. This is an example of economies of scale.

One choice was to buy the ear plugs at the local drug store in packages of 10 for \$2.50, or a cost of \$0.25 per pair.

Another choice was to buy the ear plugs at a Big Box store in packages of 50 for \$7.50, or a cost of $\underline{\$0.15}$ per pair.

A third choice was to buy the ear plugs on the Internet in packages of 150 for \$10.50, or a cost of $\underline{$ \$0.07 per pair.

► Enter the cost per pair of the three options into the appropriate blue cells in Worksheet 8, Intervention Cost.

QUESTION 1.8. What is the cheapest cost per year for ear plugs? \$_____

Exercise 2: Decision analysis for making the Pete's actions safer.

WORKSHEETS

Exposure (Hours) Ear Plug Effectiveness Probabilities

Glossary

Disability: A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities.

Decision analysis: An explicit, quantitative, systematic approach to decision making under conditions of uncertainty.

Loss control: The economics of safeguarding against injury to people or damage to property. *Probability*: A number between 0 and 1, and the sum of the probabilities that the event will occur and that it will not occur is 1.

WORKSHEET 9—Exposure Hours

JR found that for the general agricultural population that 10% of farmers experienced hearing loss similar to Pete's loss of hearing. He related the hours of exposures to loud noise as 10% of the work day for farmers.

Place the percentage of hours of exposure to loud noise into the blue box on Worksheet 9, Exposure Hours.

QUESTION 2.1. What percentage of the work day does hearing loss occur?

%

WORKSHEET 10—Ear Plug Effectiveness

Manufacturers give ear plugs an effectiveness rating for the number of decibels that the plugs reduce the noise to the ear. However, a safety factor is used

since error may exist in fitting the plugs into the ear. The safety factor compensates for the error. All of the earplugs that JR used were rated for a <u>33</u> dB reduction.

► Enter the noise reduction rating into the blue box in Worksheet 6, Ear Plug Effectiveness.

QUESTION 2.2. For which noise source is ear plugs least effective?

WORKSHEET 11—Probabilities

Hearing impairment adds to the risk of injury. The risk of work-related death of hearing impaired workers is 0.073% per 100 workers per year. The risk of occupational (nonfatal) injury of hearing impaired workers is 6.2% per 100 workers per year.

Enter the risks of death and injury into the blue boxes on Worksheet 11— Probabilities.

QUESTION 2.3. How does the potential for "injury" change when a farmer has good hearing? Does it increase or decrease?

Exercise 3: Cost analysis for making the Pete's actions safer. WORKSHEETS

Injury Schedule and Inflation Noise Schedule Cost Effectiveness Analysis

Glossary

Expected Cost (Value): The mean (weighted average) of a variable. *Inflation*: A sustained increase in the average price of all goods and services because of an increase in currency. *Productivity*: A relation between input and output. *Entrepreneur*: An individual looking for new possibilities: making use of new ways of doing things, being alert to new opportunities, and overcoming old limits. *Market*: Any arrangement that people have for trading with one another. *Cost analysis*: The process of estimating the cost of prevention activities. *Cost effective*: Comparison of alternative interventions (including no interventions) per health outcome achieved and is presented as cost per injury case prevented. *Cost-effectiveness analysis*: An economic analysis in which all costs and benefits (negative costs) are related to a single, common effect. *Time (analytic) horizon*: The period of time that the effect of the intervention extends into the future, such as the length of time that the injury would have a potential impact, or the potential number of years that the tractor would be used.

Various types of cost analyses can be used to compare one alterative to another. In this exercise, the primary focus is on cost-effectiveness analysis. These analyses by JR build on his previous results of potential injuries and noise induced hearing loss averted.

WORKSHEET 12—Injury Schedule and Inflation

Injuries related to noise-induced hearing loss has been established. The costs for these injuries by their severity (outcome) and type (direct and indirect cost) are shown in Table 3.1.

► Retrieve the estimates of the **Injury Cost** for each outcome and type from Table 3.1 and enter them into the four blue cells under **Injury Cost**.

Outcome	Туре	Injury Cost (1997 data)
Death	Direct	\$33,850
Death	Indirect	\$682,586
Nonfatal	Direct	\$8,819
Nonfatal	Indirect	\$25,028

Any cost data taken from the past must be adjusted for inflation between when that data was created and its current money value.

► Determine the age of the data from Table 3.1, which has been entered as the year of the "Injury Data Base Year" in WORKSHEET 12—Injury Schedule and Inflation. To adjust this data for inflation; click on the link,

www.bls.gov/cpi/home.htm, on the worksheet. Find and click on the **Inflation Calculator**, enter \$100 into the top cell of the calculator and the Injury Data Base Year in the next cell. Take the resulting calculation (the same buying power) for the current year (2005) and enter it into the blue cell under **Inflation Calculator** on WORKSHEET 12.

QUESTION 3.1. Observe the chart. What effect does inflation have on these costs? Do the costs inflate over time?

WORKSHEET 13—Noise Schedule and Inflation

Noise-induced hearing loss also has lifelong effects upon its victim. These effects include direct costs associated with hearing loss including both medical and

non-medical costs. They also include indirect cost associated with productivity

losses. These effects and associated costs are shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.1. Cost of noise induced hearing loss				
Co	Cost (2000 data)			
Direct Medical	Physician Visits	\$8,129		
	Medications	\$106		
	Hospital Inpatient Stays	\$8,683		
	Assistive Devices	\$5,438		
	Therapy/Rehabilitation	\$735		
Direct Non-medical	Home/Auto Modifications	\$678		
	Special Education	\$66,690		
Productivity Losses	Disability	\$224,109		
	Premature Death	\$11,170		

► Retrieve the cost estimates from Table 3.2 and enter them into the appropriate blue cells under WORKSHEET 13—Noise Schedule and Inflation.

Any cost data taken from the past must be adjusted for inflation between when that data was created and its current money value.

► Determine the age of the data from Table 3.2, which has been entered as the year of the "Injury Data Base Year" in WORKSHEET 13—Noise Schedule and Inflation. To adjust this data for inflation on the worksheet click on the link, www.bls.gov/cpi/home.htm. Find and click on the Inflation Calculator, enter \$100 into the top cell of the calculator and the Injury Data Base Year in the next

cell. Take the resulting calculation (the same buying power) for the current year (2005) and enter it into the blue cell under **Inflation Calculator** on WORKSHEET 13.

QUESTION 3.2. Which of the three major lifetime categories is the most costly?

WORKSHEET 18—Cost Effectiveness

Noise-induced hearing loss accumulates over the years. On this worksheet a noise exposure from age 17 to 24 years reduced hearing by 25 dB. This is the point at which a hearing disability begins. As noise exposure continues through the years, the hearing disability and its associated costs increase.

► Use the sliding scroll bar to see the effect of years of exposure to loud noise as the victim ages on the cost of noise-induced hearing loss.

QUESTION 3.3. Are the NET COST results a cost or a savings?

References

- CDC. A practical guide to prevention effectiveness: decision and economic analyses. Prepared by the Prevention Effectiveness Activity, Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia.1994.
- Choi SW, Peek-Asa C, Sprince NL, Rautianen RH, Donham KJ, Flamme GA, Whitten PS, Zwerling C. 2005. Hearing loss as a risk factor for agricultural injuries. *Am J Indust Med.* Accepted 11 July 2005.
- Depczynski J, Franklin RC, Challinor, Williams W, Fragar LJ. 2005. Farm noise emissions during common agricultural activities. *J Agric Safety Health*. 11(3):325-334.
- Dolan EG. 1983. Micro-economics. New York: Dryden Press.
- Gold MR, Siegel JE, Russell LB, Weinstein MC. 1996. Cost-effectiveness in health and medicine. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Haddix AC, Teutsch SM, Shaffer PA, et al (eds.). *Prevention Effectiveness: A Guide to Decision Analysis and Economic Evaluation*. New York: Oxford University Press. 1996:103-129.
- Honeycutt AA, Grosse SD, Dunlap LJ, Schendel DE, Chen H, Brann E, al Homsi G. 2003. Economic cost of mental retardation, cerebral palsy, hearing loss, and vision impairment. *Research in Social Science and Disability*. 3(207-228.
- Kidd P, Reed D, Cole H, Rosnik D, Struttmann T. 1999. Sound Advice throughout the Years. Lexington, KY: Univ of Kentucky.
- Lupescu C, Angelstad B, Lockinger L, McDuffie HH, Hagel LM, Dosman JA, Bidwell J. Hearing conservation program for farm families: an evaluation. *J Agric Safety Health*. 5(3):329-337.
- Petitti DB. Meta-Analysis, Decision Analysis, and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Methods for Quantitative Synthesis in Medicine. New York: Oxford University Press. 1994:24-28.
- Prince MM, Stayner LT, Smith RJ, Gilbert SJ. 1997. A re-examination of risk estimates from the NIOSH Occupational Noise ad Hearing Survey (ONHS). J Acoust Soc Am. 101(2):950-963.
- Reilly MJ. Rosenman KD, Kalinowski DJ. 1998. Occupational noise-induced hearing loss surveillance in Michigan. J Occup Envir Med. 40(8):667-674.
- Riggs JL. 1977. Engineering economics. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Tormoeblem R, Field W. 1995. Projecting economic losses associated with farm-related disabilities. *J Agric Safety Health*. 1(1):27-36.
- Walkenbach J. 2003. Excel 2003 Bible. Indianapolis, IN: Wiley. Publishing, Inc.
- Wilkins JR, Engelhardt HL, Crawford JM, Mitchell GL, Eicher LC, Bean TL, Jones LA. 1998. Self-reported noise exposure among Ohio cash grain farmers. J Agric Safety Health. Special Issue 1:79-88.
- Zwerling C, Whitten PS, Davis CS, Sprince NL. 1998. Risk of injury among workers with disability. *JAMA*. 279(17):1348-1350.